

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,477	•	07/06/2001	Jung-Hong Kao	M-12276 US	M-12276 US 4181  EXAMINER	
33031	7590	06/01/2006		EXAM		
	PBELL STEPHENSON ASCOLESE, LLP CHO, HONG SOL PICEWOOD SPRINGS RD.					
BLDG. 4, SI		= -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, T				2616		
				DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	09/900,477	KAO ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ag	Hong Cho	with the correspondence address -						
Period for Reply	spears on the cover sheet	with the con coponicines address -	_					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mite, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	November 2005.							
<del>'</del> =	, <del></del>							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicatio								
_ ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
7) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,76 and 79-27</u> is/are rejected	☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6,16 and 19-21 is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		o by the Examiner						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	<u> </u>		1(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· ·							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 U.S.C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	in phoney under do d.d.d	. 3 1 10(a) (a) 5. (.).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies n	ot received.						
·								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) ∐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
. upo, 115(0),111un 54t0	-, <u>-</u>							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to the RCE filed on 11/30/2005. Claims 1-22 are 1. pending in the instant application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 2. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 3. over Yim (USPUB 2003/0206527) in view of Hluchyj et al (U.S 5426640), hereinafter referred to as Hluchyj.

Re claims 1, 20 and 21, Yim discloses a method for transmitting a data message from an originating node to a destination node by utilizing the monitored information on the available ring capacity and the data flow rate or traffic loading on each ring (a method for servicing transmit traffic in a node of a network, the network including a plurality of nodes connected by first and second rings formed by two or more transmission media,

Art Unit: 2616

paragraph [0005-0009], figure 3). Yim discloses a look-up table containing information about the number of ring links along which a data message (receiving a packet for routing to the network) has to travel along each ring between the nodes to reach its destination so that the shortest route for the data message can be determined (determining a shortest path to a destination node including identifying one of the first and second rings as being associated with the shortest path, paragraph [0021]). Yim discloses selecting another ring when one ring contains a lot of traffic and is congested (determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings, paragraph [0021]). Yim does not disclose using the transit delay data in determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings. Hluchyj discloses providing a source node with a packet containing a congestion level measured by the depth of transit queues (transit delay data) in each node along the path (column 4, lines 33-35; 38-42). Since Yim suggests measuring traffic loading based on the number of messages queued at each node for transmission, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teaching of Hluchyj in receiving a packet containing congestion level by measuring the depth of transit queues into Yim so that traffic on the congested ring would be lessened by routing packets to the other ring with less congestion.

Re claims 2 and 4, Yim discloses all of the limitations of the base claim, but fails to disclose determining transit delay data for the node, appending the transit delay data for the node to the received transit delay data and forwarding the transit delay data

Art Unit: 2616

including appended transit delay data to an upstream node. However, it is well known in the art that the overall transit delay data for a given time period along a path/route is measured by the summation of a transit delay data in each node. Hluchyj discloses determining transit delay data for the node (column 4, lines 38-42) and forwarding the transit delay data as indicated by a congestion level by summing changes of all the nodes traversed by a path at a given time (appending the transit delay data for the node to the received transit delay data and forwarding the transit delay data including appended transit delay data to an upstream node in the form of a plurality of vectors, column 3, lines 53-63). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teaching of Hluchyj in determining congestion level along a path by receiving an accumulated transit delay data from downstream nodes and forward the transit delay data to an upstream node to improve network utilization by implementing dynamic congestion control scheme.

Re claim 6, Yim discloses selecting another ring when one ring contains a lot of traffic and is congested (determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings, paragraph [0021]). Yim does not disclose determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings by using a latency metric, indicative of a delay between the node and the destination node. Hluchyj discloses providing a source node with a packet containing a congestion level (latency metric) measured by the depth of transit queues in each node along the path (indicative of a delay between the node and the destination node, column 4, lines 33-35; 38-42). It would have been

Art Unit: 2616

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teaching of Hluchyj in using a latency metric into Yim so that the latency metric would be used to select the other ring with less congestion for routing a packet. The motivation is to have dynamic congestion control scheme implemented to improve network utilization.

Page 5

Re claim 16, Yim discloses a look-up table containing information about the number of ring links (a hop count between the node and the given destination node for each of the first and second rings, paragraph [0021], lines 3-5), choosing the shortest route (a static ring selection based on the hop count, paragraph [0021], lines 3-5), and selecting less congested ring to route a packet (dynamic ring selection, paragraph [0021], lines 3-5). Yim discloses selecting another ring when one ring contains a lot of traffic and is congested (determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings, paragraph [0021]). Yim does not disclose using the transit delay data in determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings. Hluchyi discloses providing a source node with a packet containing a congestion level measured by the depth of transit queues (transit delay data) in each node along the path (column 4, lines 33-35; 38-42). Since Yim suggests measuring traffic loading based on the number of messages queued at each node for transmission, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teaching of Hluchyi in receiving a packet containing congestion level by measuring the depth of transit queues into Yim so that congested level information contained in a packet would be used in selecting the other ring with less congestion for routing a packet and thereby reduce network congestion and improve network utilization.

Re claim 19, Yim discloses the transit delay is measured by the amount of traffic in a transit buffer for a given node.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 5, 7-15, 17, 18 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/30/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On pages 9-11, applicants argue that Yim neither teaches nor suggests two related operations: 1) first identifying a ring based on a shortest path, and then 2) in response to identifying one of the first and second rings as being associated with the shortest path to the destination, determining if the identified ring is more congested than another ring.

In reply, the examiner believes that Yim discloses these two related operations by identifying one ring based on a shortest path by using a look-up table (paragraph [0021], lines 6-7), and then selecting another ring to route a packet, if the one ring that has been

identified as a shortest route is congested, by performing fault detection on a ring (paragraph [0025], lines 5-8).

Applicants further argue on page 12 that examiner failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness by stating that the examiner has not shown that there is some suggestion or motivation to combine Yim and Hluchyj since Yim alone already teaches using congestion information to select a ring. The examiner sees this argument as misplaced since the examiner provided suggestion or motivation to combine Yim and Hluchyj not in light of congestion but in light of transit delay data of Hluchyj being utilized as a mean of indicating congestion level by measuring the depth of transit queues. In addition, Yim suggests measuring traffic loading based on the number of messages queued at each node for transmission, which is recognized by the examiner as suggestion or motivation to combine Yim and Hluchyj.

Therefore, the Examiner concludes that the rejection of claims is proper.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,477 Page 8

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hong Cho Patent Examiner 5/25/2006

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Char Ti Nfregue